DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 108 111

CS 001 820

TITLE

Writing a Plan of Action for Achieving the Criteria

of Excellence (A Laboratory Exercise).

New England Consortium for the Right to Read, INSTITUTION

Kingston, R.I.

Apr 75 PUB DATE 37p. NOTE

MF-\$0.76 HC-\$1.95 PLUS POSTAGE EDRS PRICE

Community Coordinators; Community Organizations; DESCRIPTORS

Community Service Programs; *Leadership Training;

*Program Guides; *Program Planning; Project Training

Methods: *Reading Programs New England: *Right to Read

ABSTRACT

IDENTIFIERS


The Right to Read process at the level of the local education agency (LEA) goes through the following four phases: needs assessment, program planning, program implementation, and evaluation. This module is a culminating activity of the program planning phase. The second phase begins with a review of the Needs Assessment Report and ends with a written LEA Plan of Action. The module provides a laboratory experience requiring LEA directors to role play the work of a director and task force, moving from the identification of a priority need to a plan for meeting that need. The steps associated with phase two are as follows: (1) determine the areas of greatest need, (2) establish a priority of needs, (3) project a long-range plan, (4) analyze top priority needs, (5) rate for clarity and importance of forces, (6) brainstorm for action alternatives, (7) complete a Plan of Action Objectives Summary Chart, (8) complete a Plan of Action Detailed Planning Guide, (9) develop a time line, and (10) write the LEA Plan of Action. In this simulation, the LEA directors will follow one priority need through the ten planning steps. (TO)

Documents acquired by ERTC include many informal unpublished * materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort * to obtain the best copy available. nevertheless, items of marginal * reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality * of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available * via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not * responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions * * supplied by EDPS are the best that can be made from the original. ******************



THE NEW ENGLAND CONSORTIUM FOR THE RIGHT TO READ

U.S. OEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EOUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO
OUT OF EXACTLY, AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN
ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE
SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY



(A Laboratory Exercise)

CONSORTIUM LEADERSHIP

Commissioners of Education

Hon. Mark Shedd, Connecticut
Hon. H. Sawin Millett, Maine
Hon. Gregory R. Anrig, Massachusetts
Hon. Newell J. Paire, New Hampshire
Hon. Thomas C. Schmidt, Rhode Island, Project Applicant

State Right to Read Directors

Mr. Martin C. Gotowala, Connecticut Mrs. Laurel Cyr, Maine Dr. Joseph J. Tremont, Massachusetts Miss Rosemary Duggan, New Hampshire Mr. J. Robert Moynihan, Rhode Island

Commissioners' Committee

Dr. Olive S. Niles, Connecticut Mrs. Mollie Reynolds, Maine Dr. Natalie Norton, Massachusetts Mrs. Joanne Baker, New Hampshire Dr. Marion L. McGuire, Rhode Island

Contract Arrangements

The Curriculum Research and Development Center, Department of Education, University of Rhode Island holds the contract for this project. Fiscal management is under the direction of Dr. Theodore M. Kellogg. Dr. Marion L. McGuire is the coordinator of operations.



DEVELOPING AN LEA PLAN OF ACTION FOR ACHIEVING THE CRITERIA OF EXCELLENCE

Staff Development Module for Phase II: Program Planning

April 1975



DEVELOPING AN LEA PLAN OF ACTION FOR ACHIEVING THE CRITERIA OF EXCELLENCE

New England Consortium Staff Development Module

Purpose

The purpose of this module is to provide a laboratory experience to help LEA directors internalize the process of building a Plan of Action based on Needs Assessment. At the point in time when this module is used, many of the individual strategies incorporated into this activity have already been introduced, such as: reaching consensus on the priority ranking of a list of items, writing objectives, brainstorming, etc. This module puts the pieces together in a simulation of what the Task Force does in building a plan.

Overview

Right to Read process, as viewed by the Consortium, goes through four phases: needs assessment, program planning, program implementation and evaluation.

Phase I. During Phase I the Lea Director and Task Force build a needs assessment instrument tailored to local values and needs by writing one or more specific objectives beneath each of the Criteria of Excellence. Based on the responses to the specific objectives it is determined whether or not each criterion has been met to a better than average level. See Appendix A for a Sample Needs Assessment Instrument.

The rationale for beginning with an assessment of the Criteria of Excellence is as follows: If it is hypothesized that the Criteria of Excellence are the hallmarks by which a failure-proof reading program will be known, then improving the orogram so that the criteria are met will assure that failure is eliminated and all children will learn to read. Hence, the 1980 national goal will be met. This assumption is implicit in the Consortium's use of the Criteria. Ultimately, evaluation should determine if schools meeting the Criteria do produce better readers.

The chief activities associated with Phase I are the establishment of an in-house Task Force and an Advisory Council, the development of specific objectives for the Needs Assessment Instrument, the conduct of the Needs Assessment, the processing of data and the completion of the Needs Assessment Report. When these activities are completed, the LEA moves into Phase II.

Phase II. Phase II tegins with a review of the Neods Assessment Report and ends with a written LEA Plan of Action. This module provides a situation whereby LEA Directors role play the work of a Director and Task Force moving from the identification of a priority need to a plan for meeting that need.



The steps associated with Phase II are: 1) determine the areas of greatest need, 2) prioritize needs, 3) project a long range plan, 4) analyze top priority needs, 5) rate clarity and importance of forces, 6) brainstorm for action alternatives, 7) complete Plan of Action Objectives Summary Chart, 8) complete Plan of Action Detailed Planning Guide, 9) develop a Time Line; and 10) write the LEA Plan of Action.

<u>Phase III</u>. The chief activities conducted during Phase III include staff development to support program changes, amassing public support, and public relations.

Phase IV. The effort is continued and evaluated during Phase IV.

In the first two years of funding, the Consortium has found that it is neither reasonable nor desirable to ask or expect local communities to move through these four phases within a specified time frame. While all communities go through a similar process, all decisions are made locally and time must be a variable. Each community must find its own individual way to meet the Criteria of Excellence. They each have different resources to bring to the task and different problems to overcome. So, while we think in terms of it being possible for some communities to complete the four phases within a year, that is not a requirement -- and many communities take longer.

<u>Directions</u>

LEA directors will meet in groups and role play a local Task Force analyzing the results of the Needs Assessment and following one priority need through ten planning steps to provide a component of the LEA Plan of Action.

Follow the 10 steps outlined on the following pages.



Step 1: Determine the Areas of Greatest Need

Study the Needs Assessment Report in Appendix B. This report summarizes the responses for a fictitious school system. Average responses of "1" and "2" show below-average growth toward meeting the Criteria of Excellence; whereas, average responses of "3" and "4" show above-average growth in those areas.

In determining the greatest needs, then, list all the criteria that earned a "1" or "2" average response. (The sixteen below-average criteria are listed on the Priority Ranking Sheet on the next page.)

Step 2: Prioritize Needs

Since a program that attempts to do too much (confusing everyone) is as ill-conceived as one that does nothing, needs must be rank ordered so that a few high priority needs may be dealt with at a time.

If it seems helpful to have some guidelines, the Task Force may determine what factors will be considered in ranking needs; for example: a) frequency, b) severity, c) percent of the population affected, d) relationship to local/state needs, etc. Whatever guidelines the Task Force considers important may be used.

Rank the fourteen criteria listed on the Priority Ranking Sheet from 1 to 14, number "1" being the highest priority and number "14" the lowest.

The group leader will attempt to achieve consensus on the ranking through finding areas of agreement first and then discussing differences with emphasis on bringing the group together on a single priority ranking. It is best to leave areas of major difference to resolve last, using the vote of the majority only when consensus cannot be reached. Getting the Task Force solidly behind the Plan of Action is important at every step and particularly at this point when mapping out the future begins.



Priority Ranking Sheet (Step 2)

	Need	<u>Priority</u>
A-1 .	The whole school atmosphere reflects commitment to the importance and enjoyment of reading	
A-2	The entire community views reading as an important activity in each individual's life	
A-3	The entire community actively contributes to and supports the school reading program	
B-1	There is articulation and coordination of the reading program throughout all the administrative units of the school system	
B-2	A continuous progress organization of the reading program is in operation	
B-3	The organizational patterns within classrooms and in the school as a whole meet the needs of all segments of the school population	`
. B-4	The language arts program in integrated, each component supporting all other components	
B-6	All content area teachers are teaching these skills necessary to the effective reading of their own instructional materials	i .
B-8	The reporting system is designed to interpret a child's reading progress to his parents	· \
B-10	The school system provides reading instruction for adults	
C-5	The school system has a cadre of trained volunteer reading helpers	
D-2	All textbooks and other materials are appropriate to the instructional level of the students using them	
D-3	Materials in both classrooms and media centers accommodate the varying learning styles of the pupil population	 -
D-4	Materials in both classrooms and media centers are selected to accommodate the wide range of reading interests of the pupil population	



Step 3: Project à Long Range Plan

Discuss a possible long range plan for moving systematically toward meeting the 14 Criteria in which needs exist. Give thought to the segments of the professional staff that will be affected at any given time so that plans can involve teachers at many levels in some way, as needed, yet not overburden one group of teachers. The plan for improvement must be both possible and feasible considering all resources and constraints.

Develop a projected long range plan by indicating the Criteria to be addressed each year for the next three to five years. Write these in topical form, if desired, on the Projected Long Range Plan sheet.

Sample Projected Long Range Plan

1975 / 1976 ₍	3.	B-6:	Reading program has continuous progress organization School atmosphere reflects commitment Content area teachers teach necessary skills School system has cadre of trained volunteers	
1976 / 1977	3.	D-2: A-2: B-8: B-10:	Textbooks are approp. for instructional level Community views reading as important Reporting system interprets reading progress School system provides reading instruction for adults	;
1977 / 1978	3.	B-1:	Community contributes/supports reading program Reading program is articulated and coordinated Org. patterns meet needs of school population	1
-1978 / 1979	3.	D-3:	Language arts program is coordinated Muterials accommodate many learning styles Materials accommodate range of interests	,



Right to Read

Projected Long Range Plan (Step 3)

		•	
1975 / 1976	1 2 3 4 5		
1976 / 1977	1 2 3 4 5		
1977 / 1978	1 2 3 4 5		
1978 / 1979	1 2 3 4 5		
1979 / 1980	1 2 3 4 5		

ERIC

ιÛ

Step 4: Analyze Top Priority Needs

In this step, each area of need, selected because of its below-average rating on the needs assessment, is analyzed to determine what the problem is, who caused it, who is affected, and the forces for and against its resolution. The goal in each case is to achieve the Criterion of Excellence where the priority need exists by meeting the objectives listed under that criterion on the needs assessment instrument.

State the criterion and the problem. Then, identify as many forces as possible for and against meeting the need and try to pair them as opposing forces. (See sample below.)

Sample Analysis of Need (Step 4)

Criterion: B-2: A continuous progress organization of the reading program is in operation.

Statement of the Problem

What it is: The school lacks a system for determining the level of skills mastery for each child.

Who caused it: School personnel have not sought to make this change.

Who is affected: Teachers need the information to individualize instruction.

Parents and pupils need the information to determine progress.

Analyze Forces Positive forces or resources Teachers have identified this as a need Administration has agreed to support R2R Have good supply of reading books and materials Megative forces or blocks Teachers don't understand the concept of continuous progress Administration has always taken the "make no waves" route. Need CR Tests, record keeping system, etc.



Analysis of Need (Step 4)

Criterion:

Statement of the Problem

What it is:

Who caused it:

Who is affected:

Analyze Forces

Positive forces or resources

Negative forces or blocks



Step 5: Rate Clarity and Importance of Forces

The purpose of this step is to clearly understand the resources available and the block to achieving each criterion of excellence. Therefore, the next task is to determine how clear each force is as it is stated and to rank it in terms of its importance in reaching the criterion/overcoming the problem.

At the conclusion of this step the Task Force should understand what conditions must be dealt with to move on.

Below is a sample rating of the clarity of forces used in the preceding example with a rank order of importance assigned to each force.

Sample Rating Form

Clarity and Importance of Forces

Rank Order	•	C1a	arity ·	
of Importance	Forces	Clear	Partly Clear	Unclear
3	Teachers have ident. this as a need	х	1	•
1	Teachers don't understand continuous progress		X	
2	Admin. has agreed to support R2R	X	·	
. 4	Admin. has always taken the "make no waves" route		ç	X

Complete the form on the next page by a) filling in the forces for and against meeting the priority need, b) rating them on clarity, and finally c) ranking them on importance.



Clarity and Importance of Forces. Rating Form

Çž

(Step 5)

Rai . Order of Importanc		Class	arity Partly Clear	Unology
ot importanc	For es	ł	Partly Clear	Unclea
	1 ·	>		
	,	Ì	<i>:</i> /	Ì
	, o		,	
	•			
	s			
	, ,			
5				
t r	S		,	
				,
}				1
•				
				,
			1	
	/			
	:	1.		
	•			
			-	
	,			/
\	e i			/
	1 '	4	I	1

Step 6: Brainstorm for Action Alternatives

The purpose of this step is to encourage a free flow of ideas for weakening the negative forces and strengthening the positive forces affecting the achievement of the criterion in question. Specifically the ideas should relate to the objectives written beneath the criterion in the needs assessment instrument as they describe the way the criterion is to be demonstrated in that school system. Ideas are neither discussed nor challenged; they are simply recorded as quickly as possible. The use of newsprint for recording ideas is recommended.

Step 7: Complete Plan of Action Objectives Summary Chart

When the Task Force has recorded all the alternatives for action they can think of, the list should be studied and the most feasible ideas selected.

In the first column, an objective is stated. This objective will probably be the same as it is stated on the needs assessment instrument. Then from the "brainstorming" ideas, the most promising alternatives for meeting that objective are selected and listed in column 2. Target dates for beginning and ending each activity are then determined and any comments recorded. The Objectives Summary Chart shows an overview of the plan with projected starting and completion dates. See sample below.

LEA Plan of Action

Sample Objectives Summary Chart

Time Factor 1/15/75 - 6/15/75

Cri teri	riterion: B-2: A continuous progress organization of reading program is in operation.									
No.	Objectives	Supporting Activities	Target Dates Begin End	Comments						
B.2.a.	Teachers use a clear de- finition of the concept of mastery learning as a basis for a cont. progress program.	1. Speaker on concept of mastery learning 2. Circulate copies of Mastery Learning: Theory and Practice. Discuss at faculty meeting	1/30/75 1/15/75 2/30/75							
B.2.b.	Teachers move students on to the next skill in the sequence only after mastery of all pre- requisite skills	 Develop K-12 skills seq. (Task Force) Circulate copies for reaction Modify and adopt 	3/15/75							



Step 7

LEA Plan of Action

Date	
Time Factor, '75 to	Objectives Summary Chart
'75 to, '76	School System

 	No.
	<u>Objectives</u>
	Supporting Activities
	Target Dates Begin End
	Comments

Step 8: Plan of Action Detailed Planning Guide

In order to make the plan operable, more detail is needed. The Task Force must decide who will be responsible for the carrying out of each activity, what resources are needed, how the activity will be documented and evaluated and the particular constraints that must be overcome. This information should be set forth on a planning guide form to make the details of the plan specific enough to be carried out without confusion and to provide a basis for monitoring the progress of the plan.

Working from the Objectives Summary Chart, list the supporting activities for one objective in the first column of the Planning Guide. Fill in the information requested in the remaining columns for one activity, then rule a line across the chart and do the same for each remaining activity.

The activities will undoubtedly include staff development in many instances as the awareness and support of everyone involved is highly related to success in launching new programs. The segments of the staff to be involved should be represented on the committee planning the staff development program. Arrangements for planning staff development sessions should appear on the Detailed Planning Guide.

All Right to Read staff development should result from the Needs Assessment and the Plan of Action developed by the in-house Task Force according to the above procedure. The reason for this is that it has been shown repeatedly that the only way to overcome needs and achieve goals is to systematically plan procedures for achieving the desired goals and adhere to the plans unless it becomes evident along the way that planning was inadequate, at which point a new plan should be generated. A "gunshot" approach to a problem dissipates the energies of a school community without assuring success. Planning must be both comprehensive and specific, and it must be addressed to a few well-documented needs.



ω	١
_	Į
Š	ı
ٽ	ı
Ġ	ı

LEA Plan of Action

		Constraints	TO		•				
	176	Documentation/ Evaluation				4		1	
School System_		End Date					.,		
School	. '75 to	Resources Needed	!			1		•	e
Detailed Planning Guide	Time Factor	Person Responsible		4				,	
Detailed P						•			
	Date_	Activity			,				

4 8

Step 9: Develop a Time Line

Putting activities on a Gantt chart helps in organizing a calendar of activities. It also helps in reviewing the activities to be accomplished weekly, monthly, etc.

Such a chart may be set up as follows:

Step 9 LEA Plan of Action

Time Line		•		S	ich o o	1 S	/s ter	n					
Da te	Time	Factor _			,	, '7	5 to				_, '	76	•
Objectives and Activities		'75 M	'75 A	'75 <u>M</u>	'75 J	'75 J	'75 A	'75 S	'75 0	'75 N	'75 D	'76 រ	'76 F
							•						



ı ý

Step 10: Writing the LEA Plan of Action

The outline that follows is a suggested one for writing the LEA Comprehensive Plan of Action.

LEA Plan of Action

- A. Background
 - 1. Rationale for joining R2R effort
 - 2. How reading became a top priority
- B. R2R Personnel
 - 1. Director: name, how appointed, role and responsibility
 - 2. Task Force: names, how appointed, role and function
 - 3. Advisory Council: names, how appointed, role and function
- C. Needs Assessment
 - 1. Building instrument
 - 2. Conduct of assessment
 - 3. Processing data
 - 4. Findings
- D. Program Planning
 - 1. Determine areas of greatest need
 - 2. Prioritize needs
 - 3. Project a long range plan
 - 4. Analyze top priority need
 - 5. Rate clarity and importance of forces
 - 6. Brainstorm for action alternatives
 - 7. Complete Objectives Summary Chart
 - 8. Complete Detailed Planning Guide
 - 9. Develop a time line
 - 10. Write the Plan of Action in narrative form including charts developed through procedures described above
- E. Program Implementation
 - 1. Initiate activities
 - 2. Monitor activities
- F. Evaluation



APPENDICES



NEW ENGLAND CONSORTIUM FOR THE RIGHT TO READ

CRITERIA OF EXCELLENCE

SAMPLE NEEDS ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT

Directions: This instrument was devised to determine how well this school system is currently meeting the Criteria of Excellence in the opinion of such groups as administrators, professional staff and community representatives. Read each criterion and the Local Education Agency objectives developed to demonstrate the achievement of this criterion in this system. After each objective, circle a number, one to four, to indicate low agreement (1) to high agreement (4) that the objective is currently being set. (Number one carries the connotation of strongly disagree or 0 to 25 percent of the indicated population do this, while number four means that you strongly agree or 75 to 100 percent of the population meet this objective.)

At the end of each section, an average will be computed to indicate how well the school/community meets the criterion stated above.

pon me ff cu	e school/	CHANGLILL	у шеега с	He criteri	LON SEG		•
A. COMMUNI	TY AND SCI	OOL CLI	<u>mate</u>	.···	•	, y *	
Criteri	on A.1. 1	The whol	e school	atmospher	e refle	cts committe	ent to the
		mportan	ce and en	joyment of	f readi	ng.	
LEA obj	ectives su	pportin	g this cr	iterion:			•
A.1.a.	Reading	lnstruct	ion is so	heduled d	uring p	rime time.	* * * *; *
(Strongly d	lisagree)	1	2	3 ·	4	(Strongly	g agree)
A.1.b.	The school program	ol budge to meet	t allocat the indiv	es suffic vidual nee	ient mo ds of s	ney to the tudents.	reading :
	•	1	2	3	4		•
A.l.c.	Many act	ivities late ach	and mater	rials are pand inter	provide est in	ed and/or preading.	repared
	•	1	2	3	4.	-3:	

Avg. A.1 = total of A.1 responses _____ ; 3 = ____

Criterion A.2. The entire community views reading as an important activity in each individual's life.

LEA objectives supporting this criterion:

A.2.a. The local news media feature school/community reading programs or activities at least once a month.

1 2 3 4

A.2.b. Newspapers and magazines are found in the majority of homes.

1 2 3 4



A.2.c.	Circulat of a rea	ding pub					resentativ	' €
		1	2	ેં કું કર્યા.	4	•		
A.2.d.	literary	genre a	nat most stud appropriate of t story, etc	to thei:	re famili r age lev	ar with velfair	the y tale,	
			2		•		1	
Avg. A.	2 = total	of A.2	responses _	_	_ : 4 =		<u> </u>	•
Criteri	on A.3.	The ent:	ire communit	y activ	ely conti	ributes t	o and	
	 .	support	s the school	readin	g program	<u>n.</u>		•
LEA ob	ectives	supporti	ng this crit	erion:	• .			-
A.3.a.	Communit	ty group ograms a	s provide hu s Reading Is	Fundam	ental and	al resour d Junior	ces for Great Book	ks.
•		1	2	3	4	. •	•	
A.3.b.	Local o persons or tuto	rganizat who are	ions provide willing to	school	s with a oute thei	list of r time as	resource speakers	•
		: 1.	. 2:.	3 ,	4	·. •	1	
A.3.c.	Adult v	olunteer ds of th	s are availa e school rea	able in ading p	sufficie rogram.	nt numbe	to meet	
٠.		• 1	2	3	4	· •	•	
A.3.d.	Young c	hildren , siblir	are read alongs or libra	oud to a	at least nteers.	one hour	a week by	,
•	•	1	2 .	3	4	•	·	•
			3 responses					
Criter	ion A.4.	by both	assroom clim n teacher an	<u>a pupii</u>	lects res	pect for h indivi	and suppo dual child	11.9
	· i	progres	ss in readin	<u>g.</u>	:		•	-
		support:	ing this cri		., .	•		
A.4.a.	Teacher provide	rs use s e inform	tudent attit ation for pr	ogram þ	Tauming.	• . •	ries to	•
		1	*·/ 2				•	
A.4.b.	own par	ce and t	ve that ever hat success of skills.	y stude is rela	nt can lo	earn to r he master	ead at hi y learnin	8

ERIC

	A.4.c.	Progress	s is repor	ted in po	ositive t	erms to resp	ect each st	udent's
			1.	2	3	4		
		Teachers provide values.	s use a va for speci	riety of fic skil	flexible l nceds,	grouping Piinterests,	rocedures to attitudes an	૮
			1	2	3	4		•
	Avg. A.	4 = tota	l of A.4 1	esponses		_ + 4 = _		
B.	ORGANIZ	ING AND	MANAGING A	READING	PROGRAM	•		
	<u>Criteri</u>	on B.1.	There is program the school	hroughou	t all the	coordinatio administra	n of the rea tive units o	ding f
	LEA obj	ectives	supporting	this cr	iterion:		,	
	B.1.a.	A state	d philosop municated	to all m	ading ins	truction ha	s been devel sional staff	oped.
			1	2	, 3 '	4		
	B.1.b.	is clea	pe of the rly defin	reading ed in ter	program, ms consis	preschool t	hrough grade he philosoph	: 12, iy
		•	1	2	3	4	•	
	B.1.c.	All rea	ding inst same sequ	ruction we	rithin the	school dis	trict is bas	sed
		• •	· 1	2	3	÷ 74		
•	B.1.d.	A unifo	rm testin roughout	g and red the dist	ord keeps	ing system f	or reading i	i s
			1	. 2 ′	3	4		
			1 of B.1					
,	Criteri	lon B.2.	A contin	uous prog	ress organization.	anization of	the reading	3
	LEA ob	ectives	supportin	g this c	riterion:	•	. '	
	B.2.a.	Teacher 1 earnir	rs use a c ng as a ba	lear defi sis for	inition of	f the concepous progress	ot of master program.	y
			•	•	•	.	•	

う うってうう うううへてく てく アイ・アイ アイ

B.2.b.	Teachers	s move stu astery of	dents to all prer	the next equisite	skill in t skills.	the sequence only
		1	2	3	4 .	•
B.2.c.	mastery	s use crit of skills e of skill	and to	ferenced assure pr	tests to cloper place	heck student ment in the
		· 1	2 '	3	4	
B.2.d.		s maintair level of			that indi	cate the skills
		1 .	2 .	3	4	
Avg. B.	2 = tota	1 of B.2 1	response		· ÷ 4 = _	<u> </u>
Criteri	lon B.3.	The organ	nizations	l patter	ns within c	lassrooms and in
		of the so	chool por	whole meet oulation.	the needs	of all segments
LEA ob	ectives	supporting	g this c	citerion:		
B.3.a.	groupin	anization gs and be lent needs	oth open	rns allow and struc	for both l	arge and small actions according
		1	2	3	4	
B.3.b.	identif	ng adminis Tying and is for the	implement	ting the	and assist	t teachers in tive organizational
Avg. B	.3 = tota	al of B.3	response	s	÷ 2 = _	
Criter	ion B.4.	The lang supporti	uage art ng all o	s program ther comp	is integra	ated, each component
LEA ob	jectives	supportin	g this c	riterion:		
B.4.a.	the imp	ortance o	f integr	ating the	Language	f communication and arts has been the professional
		1	2	3	4	
B.4.b.	Teacher that in writing	rs have de ntegrate t	eveloped the teach	instructi	onal activ	ities for each level
	WLICIU	8.		Ing of 11		peaking, reading and
	WIICIN	8.	2			peaking, reading or

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

Criteri	on B.5.	The readineeds of	ng progra sub-popul	m recogni	zes and account	odates the
LEA obj	ectives s	supporting	this cri	terion:		
B.5.a.	needs of	f all eub-	populatio licapped,	ns: 1.e.	or identifying the physically ually and cultu	, perceptuarry
		1	2	3	4	
B.5.b.	the phys	sically. p	erceptual	lly and m	special reading the state of the state of the sifted, or the sifted, or the state of the state o	apped, the
		1	.2	3	4	
B.5.c.	The reamet in	ding insti	ructional classro	needs of om settin	all sub-popul 8•	ations are
		1	, 2	· 3	4	
Avg. B.	5 = tota	1 of B.5	responses		_ ÷ 3 =	
Criteri	on B.6.	necessar	ent area y to the ional mat	<u>effective</u>	are teaching t reading of th	hose skills eir own
LEA ob	lectives	supportin	g this cr	iterion:	,	,
B.6.a.	listed	area tea the skill erials in	s most es	sential t	teachers have o the effectiv a.	cooperatively e reading of
		1	2	3	4	- *
B.6.b.	are ava	ilable fo	r measuri	ng pupil	upon typical c competence in ch content are	ontent materials the skills
i.		1.	2	3	4	
B.6.c.	respons	area tea ibility fary of th	or, teachi	ng the sp	ied, listed an ecialized tech	d assumed
		1	2	3	4	
. B.6.d.	clearly it, and	indicate	s what st	udents ar	every assignme e to do, why taills must be u	ent they give they are to do used to complete
, +		1	. 2	3	4	
Avo. R	.6 = tota	al of B.6	responses	,	<u>.</u> 4 =	

Criterio	on B.7.	the data	necessar	ry for des	f the reading processing the current measuring processing processi	ren t status
LEA obj	ectives s	supporting	this cr	<u>lterion</u> :	ŧ	
B.7.a.	Norm-rei	Ferenced to over a pe	ests are	used to s not less t	how group progre han six months.	ess in
		1	2	3	4	
B.7.b.	Criteric strength skills.	on-referen	ced test	s are used to assess	to identify ar progress in sp	eas of ecific
		1	. 2	3	4	
B.7.c.	supplem	al records ent and pe vidualizin	ersonaliz	e the reco	ruments are use ords to assist t	d to eachers
		1	2	3	4	
Avg. B.	7 = tota	1 of B.7 1	esponses		_ ÷ 3 =	
Criteri	on B.8.	The report	rting sys	tem is de to his pa	igned to interprents.	ret a child's
LEA ob	ectives	supporting	this cr	iterion:		
B.8.a.	the seq	uence of	skills, t	s the par low much P ward read	ent where the st rogress he has w ing.	udent is in ade, and
		1	2	3	4	
B.8.b.	The rep		stem prot	ects stud	ent records from	the public
		1	2	, 3	4	·
Avg. B	.8 = tota	1 of B.8	response	3	<u> </u>	
Criter	Ion B.9.	parents	(or other	r adults t	ogram of educati esponsible for carents of presch	hildren)
LE' ob	jectives	supportin	g this c	riterion:		
B.9.a.	Parents how to	or other	adults	responsibl timulating	e for preschoole learning enviro	ers are taught
		1	2	3	4	
B.9.b.	Parent: on the	s of schoo school re	l age ch	ildren att ogram.	end information	al programs

47

ERIC ()

	B.9.c.	Parents of activities	or other es for pu	adults at upils to u	tend wor	kshops to ma hool and at	ake games and home.
			1	2	3	4	
	Avg. B.	9 = total	of B.9	responses		÷ 3 =	· ·
	Criteri	on B.10.	The school	ol system	provides	reading in	struction for
	LEA obj	ectives s	upportin	g this cri	terion:		
1	B.10.a.	The scho	ol syste teachin	m has a se g adult il	et of obj	jectives and es to read.	an organizational
		,	1	2	3	4	
	в.10.ь.	The scho	ol syste nt in ad	m has an e	effective ng educat	e method to	encourage -
			1	2	3	4	
	B.10.c	. The scho	r) to te	each adult	illiter	ates to read	essional or
			1	2	3	4	
	Avg. B	.10 = tota	al of B.1	0 respons	es	÷3=.	
c.	STAFFI	NG A READ	ING PROGI	RAM			
	Criter	tion C.1.	the scho	ool system ibility, a	who has	the centra	d someone within l office support, elopment and gram.
	LEA ob	jectives	supporti	ng this cr	iterion:		
	C.1.a.	There i	s a qual full-ti	ified dire	ector wit	h secretari the entire	al help responsible reading program.
		,	1	. 2	3	4	
	C.1.b	Each el reading 500 stu	resourc	and secone teacher	ndary scl and on?	nool has a m aide assign	inimum of one ed for every
	Avg.	C.1 = tota	1 C.1 re	sponses _		÷ 2 =	·.
	Crite	rion C.2.	prepara	rd of education in the	cation e	ctively seeling of readi	es candidates with ng when filling



LEA obje	ectives su	upp orti ng	this cri	terion:		
C.2.a.	levels st	tate the urses in	requireme	nt of at	least one	mentary and secondary and preferably a condition of
	···	1	2 -	3	.4	
С.2.ь.	The chocourses	ol board and/or ha	gives pre d experie	ference nce in t	to candida he teachi	ates who have taken ng of reading.
	٠.	1	2	3	٠ 4	· .
Avg. C.	2 = total	of C.2	responses		÷ 2 =	
Criteri		The loca incentiving readi	e program	of educate for tea	ion has e cher inse	stablished an rvice education
LEA obj	ectives s	upporting	this cri	terion:		
C.3.a.	in readi by such and/or r and/or s	ng at ele policies eimbursen	mentary a as: relea ment for o services	and secor ased time courses a	dary leve e and/or i end/or pro	nservice education ls as demonstrated nservice credit fessional days se in their
		1	2	3	4	
C.3.b.	Teachers evaluati	particip	pate in the inservice	he goal : ce progra	setting, p ms.;	lanning and
		1	2	3	4	
Avg. C.	3 = total	of C.3	responses		_ + 2 = _	
Criteri	lon C.4.	There is	a continu	uous pro	gram of st	aff development.
LEA ob	ectives	supportin	g this cr	iterion:		
C.4.a.	The admi	inistrati ervice pr	on has fo ograms fo	rmulated r all pr	long-rang ofessional	ge goals and objective and auxiliary staff.
		1	2	3	4	
С.4.ъ.	An insergroups of identify	within th	ining pro e staff w	gram is here pri	continuous ority need	and directed to is have been
		1	2	3	· 4	



	C.4.c.	The sch tation convent	at profess	n encoura sional co	ges and p nferences	rovides , meetin	funds for gs, works	represen- nops, and
	•	· *, · · ·	1	2	3	4		
	C.4.d.	There is	is a profes periodica cs.	ssional 1 als on th	ibrary of e teachin	at leas g of rea	t 25 curr ding avai	ent books lable to
			.1	2	3	4		
į	Avg. C.	4 = tota	al of C.4	responses		_ : 4 •	·	
!	Criteri	on C.5.	The school	ol system	has a ca	dre of t	rained vo	lunteer
	LEA ob	ectives	supporting	g this cr	iterion:			
	C.5.a.	coordi	is a quali nation and programs.	fied prof training	essional componer	responsi	lble for t Dlunteer r	he direction eading
			. 1	2	3	4	2	
	C.5.b.	etc.)	n-certifie working wi ction and	th studer	its in the	e school	are train	dent tutors, ed in readin ram.
	•		1	2 /	3	, 4		
	Avg. C	.5 = tot	al of C.5	responses	3	÷ 2 ·	· 	
D.	SELECT	ING AND	UTILIZING	MATERIALS	<u> </u>	•		
	Criter	ion D.1.	The scho	ol media	center me	eets ALA	-NEA stand	lards.
•	LEA ob	jectives	supportin	g this c	riterion:			,
			hool has a		•			
			•	. 2	۰. 3 :	4	,	•
	n 1.b.	The me	dia center	contain	s at leas	t 20 vol	umes per i	student.
	3,2, 50	U -1-2 U -1-2	1	2	3	4	•	
	n 1 c	The st	_	_		meets th	e ALA-NEA	standards.
	D. I. C.	1	1	2	3		;	
		_	•	_	_	•		المدادا
	D.1.d.	equir	edia center nant to mee ndents.	contain t the in	a wide v dividual	ariety o needs an	t material	s and s styles

1

2

3

υÚ

A 50 1	l baba1		enongas		<u>.</u> 4 =	•
<u>Criterio</u>	on D.2.	the instru	ooks and octional	other mat level of	erials are ap	using them.
		supporting				and wooding
D.2.a.	The reacter	dability los of all bo	evel is dooks bein	letermined ng conside	l by classroomered for purch	ase.
		1	2	3	4	
D.2.b.	All pri and thi	nted mater s informat	ials have	e been eva Vailable	luated as to to every teach	readability er using them.
		1	2	3	4	•
D.2.c.	Teacher instructions levels.	tional mat	erials s	uitable t	o students in	ooks and other nstructional
		1	- 2	3	4.	
D.2.d.	Teacher of each	s use mate student.	erials ap	propriate	to the instr	uctional level
-		1 .	2	3	4	•
D.2.e.	Teacher their (rs encourag	ge and as	SISC Stud	ents to selec	t materials on
		1 .	2	3	. 4	
Avg. D	.2 = tot	al of D.2	responses		_ : 5 =	
		Made and all	- da babb	1	om and media C	enter accommo-
		<u>date</u> the	varying	learning	styles of the	pupil population.
		supportin				
D.3.a.	assist throug				iia center are ajor skills at auditory, vis	e selected to nd concepts sual, kinesthetic
		1	2	3	4	
D.3.b.	Materi indexe	als in the	classro	om and me tyle.	dia center ar	e identified and
		1	2	3	4	
Avg. 1	D.3 = tot	al of D.3	response	8	÷ 2 =	



Criteri	on D.4.	Materials	in both	classroom	and medi	a center are		
		selected to accommodate the wide range of reading interests of the pupil population.						
		interests	or the	pupil popi	Hation.			
LEA obj	ectives	supporting	this cr	iterion:		4		
D.4.a.	Teacher	s use guid	elines f	or the sel	lection of	all instructional		
	materia	ls with st	udent in	terests as	s one circ	er rom.		
		1	2	3	4			
D.4.b.	Teacher program	s.				em to plan		
• '		1	2	3	4	·		
D.4.c.	centers	, to stime	late stu	dent inte	rests.	ishment of interest		
	•	1 · '	2	3	4	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
Avg. D.	.4 = tota	1 of D.4 1	responses	·	_ ÷ 3 = -			
Criteri	on D.5.	The school selected	and freq	maintain quently up	s a libra dated prof	ry of carefully Fessional media.		
LEA ob	ectives	supporting	this cr	riterion:				
D.5.a.		fessional n reading				50 professional		
		1	2	3	4			
D.5.b.		fessional s, reports				20 professional reading.		
		1	2	3	4	-		
Avg. D.	.5 = tota	of D.5	responses	s	_ ÷ 2 = .	·		
FOSTER:	ING READ	ING INTERE	STS					
Criter	ion E.1.					es the importance		
				variety of		promotes this type		
LEA ob	jectives	supportin	g this co	riterion:				
E.1.a.	reading	s are progarities activities acti	es of the	minimum of eir own ch	40 minut oice on w	es per week for hich they are		
		1	. 2	3	4			

the best of the second second

3

I I

E.1.b.		s spend a in a vari			day promoting	independent
			2		4	
					_ : 2 =	
Criteri	on E.2.	The publi	c librar of the p	y promote opulation	s reading amo	ng all
LEA obj	ectives	supporting	this cr	iterion:		•
E.2.a.	public	cal reading librarian population	(s) to pr	r works comote rea	cooperatively ading among al	with the .1 segments
•	. · .,	1	2	· 3	4	•
E.2.b.	The pul	ange of rea	ading int	erests 1	eloped to prov n the communit	.y.
					· 4 , · ~	
Avg. E	.2 = tot	al of E.2	response	·	÷2 =	
•				***	·	
						•
	:	•	• . • •	10		
		•		V.		
		: , ,	.1.2r m :	errod One one	e e gris e e Contractor	
			•	•	•	
•		• • • • • •		5	•	
				•		

Ĵ

THE NEW ENGLAND CONSORTIUM FOR THE RIGHT TO READ

LEA NEEDS ASSESSMENT REPORT

Directions

The LEA Needs Assessment Report is a record of the LEA responses to the Criteria of Excellence as indicated on the locally devised needs assessment instrument. The purpose of the report is to graphically depict a profile of the LEA's position in respect to the individual Criteria and to project an average rating in each of the five areas for purposes of detecting whole areas of relative strength or weakness.

The report is to be completed as follows:

- 1. Circle a number, 1 (10) to 4 (hi), beside each criterion statement, that corresponds to the response for that item on the needs assessment instrument. For example, #1 would represent the lowest rating on the Likert Scale, such as "never" or "0-25 percent". By the same token, #4 would represent the highest rating such as "often" or "many" or "76+ percent".
- 2. Draw a profile by connecting the circled response within each of the five areas. (This will produce five unconnected profiles)
- 3. Add together the circled numbers within each area and divide that sum by the number of Criteria in that area (find the average for each area). These averages are used only to get an overall perspective of the program.
- 4. List all the Criteria that fall in the two lower quartiles (those for which you circled "1" or "2".) Working with the system-wide reading task force, prioritize this list of needs. Develop a rationale for the order in which you have listed your priorities.
- 5. Decide how many items in the priority list will be worked on during the first year.
 - . 6. Select the item of highest priority and do a force field analysis of it.

A sample of the way the report may look when completed follows:

A.	Community and School Climate.	Lo	H	i Avg
	1. The whole school atmosphere	1 2	3 4	
	2. The entire community views	1 2	3 4	
	3. The entire community actively supports	2	3 4	
	4. The classroom climate	1 2	3 4	
	Average climate = 8			2



NEW ENGLAND CONSORTIUM FOR THE RIGHT TO READ

LEA NEEDS ASSESSMENT REPORT

		•	LO			H1	Ayg.	
A.	Comm	nunity and School Climate		-				
	1.	The whole school atmosphere reflects commitment to the importance and enjoyment of reading	1	©	3	4		
	2.	The entire community views reading as an important activity in each individual's life	Q	2	3	4		
t	3.	The entire community actively contributes to and supports the school reading program	1	2	3	4		
	4.	The classroom climate reflects respect for and support, by both teacher and pupils, of each individual child's progress in reading	1	2	3	4		
		Average climate = $9 \div 4 = 2$					21	-
В.	0rg	panizing and Managing a Reading Program.						
	1.	There is articulation and coordination of the reading program throughout all the administrative units of the school system	Q	2	3	4	•	
	2.	A continuous progress organization of the reading program is in operation	1	10	3	4		
	3.	The organizational patterns within classrooms and in the school as a whole meet the needs of all segments of the school population	1	2	3	4	-	-
	4.	The language arts program is integrated, each component supporting all other components	1	0	3	4		
	· 5.	The reading program recognizes and accommodates the needs of sub-populations	1	2	3	4		
	6.	All content area teachers are teaching these skills necessary to the effective reading of their own instructional materials	1	@	3	,		
	7.	The evaluation component of the reading program provides the data necessary for describing the current status of achievement in reading and measuring progress	1	2	3	4		· Bear anythis
	8.	The reporting system is designed to interpret a child's reading progress to his parents	1	0	3	4		
3 IC		,	1		1	1	{	!

LEA NEEDS ASSESSMENT REPORT CONT'D.


- B. Organizing and Managing a Reading Program Cont'd.
 - 9. The school system has a program of education for parents (or other adults responsible for children) with special emphasis on parents of preschoolers.....
 - 10. The school system provides reading instruction for adults.....

Average org. and mgmt. = $\frac{2}{10}$; 10 =

- C. Staffing a Reading Program.
 - The board of education has designated someone within the school system who has the central office support, responsibility, and time for the development and maintenance of a quality reading program.
 - - 3. The local board of education has established an incentive program for teacher inservice education in reading.....
 - 4. There is a continuous program of staff development.....
 - 5. The school system has a cadre of trained volunteer reading helpers.....

Average staffing = 14 : 5 =

- D. Selecting and Utilizing Materials.
 - 1. The school media center meets the ALA-NEA standards.....
 - 2. All textbooks and other materials are appropriate to the instructional level of the students using them.....
 - 3. Materials in both classrooms and media centers accommodate the varying learning styles of the pupil population.....



LEA NEEDS ASSESSMENT REPORT CONT'D.

4. The materials in both classrooms and media centers are selected to accommodate the wide range of reading interests of the pupil population. 5. The school system maintains a library of carefully selected and frequently updated professional media. Average materials = \(\frac{3}{2} \cdot 5 \) = E. Fostering Reading Interests. 1. The school reading program recognizes the importance of personal independent reading and promotes this type of reading in a variety of ways. 2. The public library promotes reading among all segments of the population. 1 2 3	Hi Avg.
fully selected and frequently updated professional media	4
E. Fostering Reading Interests. 1. The school reading program recognizes the importance of personal independent reading and promotes this type of reading in a variety of ways. 1. The public library promotes reading among all segments of the population. 1. 2. 3	3
 The school reading program recognizes the importance of personal independent reading and promotes this type of reading in a variety of ways. The public library promotes reading among all segments of the population. 	3.6
importance of personal independent reading and promotes this type of reading in a variety of ways	
segments of the population	4
	4
Average Reading Interest = ; 2 =	3.5
below aug. above ang.	17

3.